NM E	QIP FY 2006 Rankir	ng Criteria V	Vorksheet - Irr F.O.	igated Cr	opland		
Applicant_		Farm No.		CMS Field	d No's.	Date	
	Non-Tribal Land				ary Fina		
	1. Water Q	— uantity - 80	Potential Poir		•		
_	ficiency - Use FIRS to eva		·	•	Potential	Benchmark	After
% Efficiency	% of Area in Contract before Treatment	% of Area in (After	Points	Points	Points
					80		
			1. Wat	er Quantity	Total		
	2. Water	Quality - 50	0 Potential Poi	ints (25%	of Total)		
	A. S	Surface Water	Pollutants - 30 M	aximum Po	ints		
other assoc a shared irr	probability that runoff wate siated chemicals). Treatm igation system. Points wi e-entry point into a shared	ent is needed t Il be awarded b	to prevent these po pased on distance	ollutants fror from the end	n entering live d of the field t	e waters, or re	-entering
Distance of Surface Run-Off to Live Water			Potential	Benchmark	After		
<100 Foot					Points 30	Points	Points
<100 Feet 101 - 500 Ft.				20			
501 - 1,320 I					10		
1,321 - 2,640 Ft.					5		
>2,640 Feet				0			
			A. Su	rface Water	Total		
	B. G	round Water I	Pollutants - 20 M	aximum Po	ints		
chemicals) ground wat	probability that irrigation wis leaching into the grounder, through leaching and/on of any direct discharge	d water. Treatr or direct flow in	ment is needed to to wells. Points wi	prevent thes ill be awarde	se pollutants f ed based on d	rom contamina	ating
Depth to Water Table			Potential Points	Benchmark Points	After Points		
1 - 10 Ft or elimination of any direct discharge into ground water.			20				

10 - 50 Ft.

50 -100 Ft.

>100 Ft.

Page 1 of 2
tachment 6 of 7 to New Mexico Bulletin No. 300-6-02

10

5

0

Total

B. Ground Water

2. Water Quality

NM EQIP FY 2006 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Irrigated	Cropland
FO	•

3. Selected Conservation Practice(s) - 60 Potential Points (25% of Total)

Any practice used in the ranking criteria and intended to be included in the conservation schedule of operations must be cost-shared or have an incentive payment. Higher priority (value) should be given to those practices which address multiple resource concerns, are cost effective, and have longer life spans. Select resource concerns from NM Quality Criteria Guide.	Potential Points	Percent of Need to be Installed	After Points
Water Quantity (Water management for irrigated land)			
Irrigation System Drip / Trickle (441)	14		
Irrigation System Sprinkler (442)	12		
Irrigation Water Conveyance (430)	6		
Irrigation Land Leveling (464)	4		
Land Smoothing (466)	2		
Water Quality (Surface and Groundwater)			
Irrigation System Drip / Trickle (441)	14		
Irrigation System Sprinkler (442)	12		
Irrigation Water Conveyance (430)	6		
Irrigation Land Leveling (464)	4		
Land Smoothing (466)	2		
Soil Erosion (Irrigation Induced)			
Irrigation System Drip / Trickle (441)	14		
Irrigation System Sprinkler (442)	12		
Irrigation Water Conveyance (430)	6		
Irrigation Land Leveling (464)	4		
Land Smoothing (466)	2		
Air (Dust and Air Temperature)			
Pasture and Hayland Planting (512)	2		
Plants (Suitability and Condition)			
Pasture and Hayland Planting (512)	2		
Animals (Habitat and Management)			
Wetland Restoration (657), Wildlife Watering Facility (648)	2		
Pasture and Hayland Planting (512)	2		
3. Selected Conservation Practices	Total		

4. Other Considerations - 20 Potential Points (10% of Total)

Items A thru D are required. If there are other criteria the D.C. wants to recommend	Potential	Benchmark	After
based on LWG advice, please include it as item E.	Points	Points	Points
A. At risk species habitat will be enhanced. (List the species impacted)	4		
B. Treatment of this land could have a beneficial impact on a 303d listed stream segment.	2		
C. Treatment of this land could enhance the benefits of an active/planned section 309 proj	2		
D. The land is within a NMED designated Catergory I watershed.	2		
E. Land is involved with other conservation enhancement programs (ie, Part. For Fish and			
F. Class A and / or B Noxious Weeds are addressed within contracted area for management	8		
4. Other Considerations	Total		

F. Class A and / or B Noxious weeds are addressed within contracted area for management				ð		
	4. Other Considerations Total					
Total Points (After minus Benchmark): Sec 1_	Sec 2	Sec 3	_ Sec 4	Works	heet Total	
* A minimum of 50 total points must be ear	rned to be consid	dered for o	ontract sel	ection		
			_		Revised Oct	ober 2005
Designated Conservationist	Date				Pag	ge 2 of 2
	А	Attachment 6 of 7 to New Mexico Bulletin No. 300-6-02				